

Cyber Threat Assessment

Report Date: April 5, 2019 14:19 Data Range: 2019-03-29 00:00 2019-04-04 23:59 PDT (FAZ local)

	SSL IPsec	

Table of Contents

Organizational File Usage	3
Files Needing Inspection	3
Breakdown of File Types	3
Results of Executable Sandbox Analysis	4
Top Sandbox-identified Malicious EXEs	4
Top Sources of Sandbox Discovered Malware	
Perommended Actions	
Cocurity and Threat Drovention	
	6
Annlication Vulnerability Exploits	
Top Application Vulnerability Exploits Detected	0 6
Malware. Botnets and Spyware/Adware	9
Top Malware, Botnets and Spyware/Adware Detected	9
At-Risk Devices and Hosts	9
Most At-Risk Devices and Hosts	
Encrypted Web Traffic	
HTTPS vs. HTTP Traffic Ratio	
Top Source Country/Region	
Top Source Country/Region	
User Productivity	11
Application Usage	
App Categories	
Cloud Usage (SaaS)	
Cloud Usage (laaS)	
Application Category Breakdowns	
Remote Access Applications	
Proxy Applications	
Top Social Media Applications	
Top Video/Audio Streaming Applications	
Top Gaming Applications	
Top Peer to Peer Applications	
Web Usage	
Top Web Categories	
Top Web Applications	
Websites Frequented	
Most visited web Domains	
Network Utilization	
Bandwidth	
Average Bandwidth by Hour	
Top Bandwidth Consuming Sources/Destinations	
FortiGuard Security and Services	17
Appendix A	18
Devices	10

Executive Summary



Security and Threat Prevention



IPS Attacks Detected: 76,730 High-Risk Applications Used: 24

Malware/Botnets Detected: 16 Malicious Websites Detected: 1

Last year, over 2,100 enterprises were breached as a result of poor internal security practices and latent vendor content security. The average cost of a corporate security breach is estimated at \$3.5 million USD and is rising at 15% year over year. Intrusions, malware/botnets and malicious applications collectively comprise a massive risk to your enterprise network. These attack mechanisms can give attackers access to your most sensitive files and database information. FortiGuard Labs mitigates these risks by providing award-winning content security and is consistently rated among industry leaders by objective third parties such as NSS Labs, VB 100 and AV Comparatives.



Applications Detected: 416 Top Application Category: Network.Service Top Website: fortinet-ca2.fortinet.com Top Used Application: HTTPS.BROWSER Websites Visited: 9860 Top Web Category:

User application usage and browsing habits can not only be indicative of inefficient use of corporate resources, but can also indicate a lack of proper enforcement of corporate usage policies. Most enterprises recognize that personal use of corporate resources is acceptable. But there are many grey areas that businesses must keep a close eye on including: use of proxy avoidance/peer to peer applications, inappropriate web browsing, phishing websites, and potentially illegal activity - all of which expose your company to undue liability and potential damages. With over 5,800 application control rules and 250 million categorized websites, FortiGuard Labs provides telemetry that FortiOS uses to keep your business running effectively.





Total Bandwidth: 4751159077954

Top Host by Bandwidth: 172.16.92.197

Performance effectiveness is an often undervalued aspect of security devices, but firewalls must keep up with the line speeds that today's next generation switches operate at. A recent survey by Infonetics indicates that 77% of decision-makers at large organizations feel that they must upgrade their network security performance (100+ Gbps aggregate throughput) in the coming year. FortiGates leverage FortiASICs to accelerate CPU intensive functions such as packet forwarding and pattern matching. This offloading typically results in a 5-10X performance increase when measured against competitive solutions.

Sandbox Analysis

Today's increasingly sophisticated threats can mask their maliciousness and bypass traditional antimalware security. Conventional antimalware engines are, in the time afforded and to the certainty required, often unable to classify certain payloads as either good or bad; in fact, their intent is unknown. Sandboxing helps solve this problem – it entices unknown files to execute in a protected environment, observes its resultant behavior and classifies its risk based on that behavior. With this functionality enabled for your assessment, we have taken a closer look at files traversing your network.

Organizational File Usage

Total Files Detected (7055)

During the assessment period, we monitored the total number of files that were sent across your network. These files could have been email attachments, files uploaded to file sharing services, downloads from the Internet, etc. This number will give you an idea of the sheer amount of file-based activity either inbound or outbound.

Subset of Files Which Could be Sent for Sandbox Inspection (543)

While some file types like .png files are extremely low risk in nature, others can be executed or contain macros and other active code that could exhibit malicious behaviors. Common files types such as exe, doc, xls, and zip should be inspected for their potential to deliver threats to your network. Fortinet's sandboxing technologies can inspect more than 50 different file types even while obfuscated within multiple layers of compression.

Breakdown of File Types

Files Needing Inspection





Results of Executable Sandbox Analysis

Total EXE Files Analyzed (443)

As a highest risk file type, we started with executables which, after a standard anti-malware check on the FortiGate, were sent to the sandbox for further inspection. The number here represents the subset of executables that were sent to the sandbox for additional scrutiny.

Total Malicious EXEs Found (0)

Of the Total EXE Files Analyzed, certain files may have tested positive for malicious threat payloads upon further inspection. Often times this subsequent identification is due to later stage downloads or communications that are known to be malicious. This is the number of malicious files that were discovered during our executable analysis.

Top Sandbox-identified Malicious EXEs

No matching log data for this report

Top Sources of Sandbox Discovered Malware

No matching log data for this report



Recommended Actions

Application Vulnerability Attacks Detected (113)

Application vulnerabilities (also known as IPS attacks) act as entry points used to bypass security infrastructure and allow attackers a foothold into your organization. These vulnerabilities are often exploited due to an overlooked update or lack of patch management process. Identification of any unpatched hosts is the key to protecting against application vulnerability attacks.

Malware Detected (13)

Malware can take many forms: viruses, trojans, spyware/adware, etc. Any instances of malware detected moving laterally across the network could also indicate a threat vector originating from inside the organization, albeit unwittingly. Through a combination of signature and behavioral analysis, malware can usually be prevented from executing and exposing your network to malicious activity. Augmenting your network with APT/sandboxing technology (e.g. FortiSandbox) can also prevent previously unknown malware (zero-day threats) from propagating within your network.

Botnet Infections (3)

Bots can be used for launching denial-of-service (DoS) attacks, distributing spam, spyware and adware, propagating malicious code, and harvesting confidential information which can lead to serious financial and legal consequences. Botnet infections need to be taken seriously and immediate action is required. Identify botnet infected computers and clean them up using antivirus software. Fortinet's FortiClient can be used to scan and remove botnets from the infected hosts.

Malicious Websites Detected (1)

Malicious websites are sites known to host software/malware that is designed to covertly collect information, damage the host computer or otherwise manipulate the target machine without the user's consent. Generally visiting a malicious website is a precursor to infection and represents the initial stages of the kill chain. Blocking malicious sites and/or instructing employees not to visit/install software from unknown websites is the best form of prevention here.

Phishing Websites Detected (0)

Similar to malicious websites, phishing websites emulate the webpages of legitimate websites in an effort to collect personal or private (logins, passwords, etc.) information from end users. Phishing websites are often linked to within unsolicited emails sent to your employees. A skeptical approach to emails asking for personal information and hovering over links to determine validity can prevent most phishing attacks.

Proxy Applications Detected (10)

These applications are used (usually intentionally) to bypass in-place security measures. For instance, users may circumvent the firewall by disguising or encrypting external communications. In many cases, this can be considered a willful act and a violation of corporate use policies.

Remote Access Applications Detected (8)

Remote access applications are often used to access internal hosts remotely, thus bypassing NAT or providing a secondary access path (backdoor) to internal hosts. In the worst case scenario, remote access can be used to facilitate data exfiltration and corporate espionage activity. Many times, the use of remote access is unrestricted and internal corporate use changes should be put into practice.

P2P and Filesharing Applications (5)

These applications can be used to bypass existing content controls and lead to unauthorized data transfer and data policy violations. Policies on appropriate use of these applications need to be implemented.



Security and Threat Prevention High Risk Applications

The FortiGuard research team assigns a risk rating of 1 to 5 to an application based on the application behavioral characteristics. The risk rating can help administrators to identify the high risk applications quickly and make a better decision on the application control policy. Applications listed below were assigned a risk rating of 4 or higher.

High Risk Applications

Risk	Арр	olication Name	Cate	egory	Technology	User	Bandwidth	Session
5		Proxy.HTTP	8	Proxy	Network-Protocol	16	15.65 MB	37,310
5		Asprox.Botnet	•	Botnet	Client-Server	1	100.16 KB	237
5	¢	Proxy.Websites	8	Proxy	Browser-Based	3	452.29 KB	180
5	n	Private.Tunnel	8	Proxy	Client-Server	3	831.31 KB	140
5	s.V	Private.Internet.Acces PN	8	Proxy	Client-Server	3	2.53 GB	124
5	•	KProxy	8	Proxy	Browser-Based	1	1,006.87 KB	46
5	4	Ultrasurf_9.6+	8	Proxy	Client-Server	2	6.76 KB	25
5	0	Hotspot.Shield	6	Proxy	Client-Server	4	126.59 KB	16
5	¢	OKHTTP.Library.VPN	8	Proxy	Client-Server	1	6.64 KB	4
5	8	Setup.VPN	8	Proxy	Client-Server	1	45.02 KB	4
5	P	Psiphon	8	Proxy	Client-Server	1	7.90 KB	2
4	¢	Rsh	‱	Remote.Access	Client-Server	576	160.11 GB	3,966,965
4	9	RDP	1	Remote.Access	Client-Server	587	1.13 GB	98,981
4	>_	Telnet	₩	Remote.Access	Client-Server	1,035	12.82 MB	8,573
4	0	TeamViewer	¥	Remote.Access	Client-Server	25	507.05 MB	3,245
4	¢	Rlogin	‱	Remote.Access	Client-Server	17	184.88 KB	2,326
4	٧ð	VNC	1	Remote.Access	Client-Server	132	557.95 MB	1,199
4	¢	Rexec	₩	Remote.Access	Client-Server	25	22.02 KB	561
4	C	BitTorrent	P2P	P2P	Peer-to-Peer	5	318.97 KB	259
4	0	PPTV	P2P	P2P	Peer-to-Peer	6	934.29 KB	99

Figure 1: Highest risk applications sorted by risk and sessions

Application Vulnerability Exploits

Application vulnerabilities can be exploited to compromise the security of your network. The FortiGuard research team analyzes these vulnerabilities and then develops signatures to detect them. FortiGuard currently leverages a database of more than 5,800 known application threats to detect attacks that evade traditional firewall systems. For more information on application vulnerabilities, please refer to FortiGuard at: http://www.fortiguard.com/intrusion.

Top Application Vulnerability Exploits Detected

Severity	Threat Name	Туре	CVE-ID	Victim	Source	Count
5	Primetek.Primefaces.5.Remote.Code.Execution	Code Injection		86	2	4,092
5	Apache.Struts.2.Jakart a.Multipart.Parser.Code.E xecution	Code Injection	CVE-2017-5638	87	4	2,962
5	Oracle.WebLogic.Serv er.wls-wsat.Component.C ode.Injection	Code Injection	CVE-2017-3506,CVE-20 17-10271	86	2	1,781

FORTIDET

Severity	Threat Name	Туре	CVE-ID	Victim	Source	Count
5	Apache.Struts.2.REST. Plugin.Remote.Code.Exec ution	Code Injection	CVE-2016-4438,CVE-20 17-12611	85	2	1,700
5	Apache.Struts.2.OGNL .Script.Injection	Other	CVE-2012-0391,CVE-20 12-0393,CVE-2012-039 4,CVE-2013-1966,CVE- 2013-2115,CVE-2018-1 1776	86	2	1,209
5	Cisco.IOS.HTTP.Remot e.Command.Execution	Improper Authentication	CVE-2000-0984,CVE-20 01-0537	87	3	491
5	ThinkPHP.Controller.P arameter.Remote.Code.E xecution	Code Injection	CVE-2019-9082,CVE-20 18-20062	87	7	321
5	SWEditServlet.Directo ryTraversal	Path Traversal	CVE-2001-0555	86	2	310
5	🐞 Necurs.Botnet			86	2	293
5	in TFTP.Server.Buffer.Ov erflow	Buffer Errors	CVE-2005-1812,CVE-20 08-1610,CVE-2008-161 1,CVE-2010-2310	3	1	243
5	ikonboard.Illegal.Cook ie.Language.Command.E xecution	Code Injection	CVE-2001-0236	86	2	187
5	Adobe.Flash.newfunct ion.Handling.Code.Execut ion	Other	CVE-2010-1285,CVE-20 10-1297	1	1	173
5	Adobe.Coldfusion.Bla zeDS.Java.Object.Deserial ization	Code Injection	CVE-2017-3066	84	3	170
5	Apache.Commons.Col lection.InvokerTransform er.Code.Execution	OS Command Injection	CVE-2015-4852,CVE-20 15-6420,CVE-2015-655 5,CVE-2015-6576,CVE- 2016-0788,CVE-2016-3 427,CVE-2016-3510,CV E-2016-3642,CVE-2016 -4385,CVE-2016-8735, CVE-2016-9498,CVE-20 17-5645,CVE-2017-579 2,CVE-2018-10611	86	2	167
5	Accellion.FTA.Cookie.I nformation.Disclosure	Information Disclosure	CVE-2015-2856	86	2	159
5	 Joomla!.com_fields.SQ L.Injection 	SQL Injection	CVE-2017-8917	86	2	157
5	Drupal.Core.Form.Ren dering.Component.Remo te.Code.Execution	OS Command Injection	CVE-2018-7600	85	2	156
5	Palo.Alto.Networks.Fir ewall.Web.Interface.Rem ote.Code.Execution	Improper Authentication	CVE-2017-15944	86	2	156
5	HTTP.Chunk.Overflow	Numeric Errors	CVE-2002-0392,CVE-20 09-0086,CVE-2009-212 1,CVE-2012-3544,CVE- 2013-1091,CVE-2013-2 028	86	2	150

FORTIDET.

Severity	Threat Name	Туре	CVE-ID	Victim	Source	Count
5	Bash.Function.Definiti ons.Remote.Code.Executi on	OS Command Injection	CVE-2014-6271,CVE-20 14-6277,CVE-2014-627 8,CVE-2014-7169,CVE- 2014-7186,CVE-2014-7 187	50	2	117
5	 iBM.Rational.ClearQu est.Username.Parameter. SQL.Injection 	SQL Injection	CVE-2007-4368	23	1	62
5	HTTP.Negative.Content t.Length	Numeric Errors	CVE-2011-3491	49	2	49
5	OpenSSL.TLS.Heartbe at.Information.Disclosure .Custom-test			3	3	26
5	MS.SMB2.Negotiation. Handler.Code.Execution	Resource Management Errors	CVE-2009-2532,CVE-20 09-3103	15	2	15
5	TorrentLocker.Botnet			1	1	7

Figure 2: Top vulnerabilities identified, sorted by severity and count



Malware, Botnets and Spyware/Adware

There are numerous channels that cybercriminals use to distribute malware. Most common methods motivate users to open an infected file in an email attachment, download an infected file, or click on a link leading to a malicious site. During the security assessment, Fortinet identified a number of malware and botnet-related events which indicate malicious file downloads or connections to botnet command and control sites.

Top Malware, Botnets and Spyware/Adware Detected

Malware Name	Туре	Application	Victim	Source	Count
Necurs.Botnet	Virus	HTTP	86	2	293
Asprox.Botnet	Botnet C&C	Asprox.Botnet	28	1	209
ETDB_TEST_FILE	Virus	FTP	1	1	183

Figure 3: Common Malware, Botnets, Spyware and Adware detected

At-Risk Devices and Hosts

Based on the types of activity exhibited by an individual host, we can approximate the trustworthiness of each individual client. This client reputation is based on key factors such as websites browsed, applications used and inbound/outbound destinations utilized. Ultimately, we can create an overall threat score by looking at the aggregated activity used by each individual host.

Most At-Risk Devices and Hosts

Device	Scores	
ubuntu57		3,346,499,465
172.18.54.101		12,113,065
185.254.122.200		7,817,920
185.254.122.201		7,507,790
172.18.3.250		6,754,785
178.19.108.154		5,532,915
178.19.106.114	4	5,199,905
178.19.109.202		5,177,990
178.19.106.122		5,175,430
178.19.109.194		5,162,805

Figure 4: These devices should be audited for malware and intrusion susceptibility

Encrypted Web Traffic

From a security perspective, it's important to visualize how much of your web-based traffic is encrypted. Encrypted traffic poses very real challenges for enterprises who want to ensure that those same applications are not being used for malicious purposes, including data exfiltration. Ideally, your firewall can inspect encrypted traffic at high speeds - this is why performance and hardware/ASIC offloading are key when evaluating a firewall.

HTTPS vs. HTTP Traffic Ratio



Top Source Country/Region

By looking at IP source traffic, we can determine the originating country/region of any particular request. Certain botnets, command and control functions, and even remote access can be session heavy and indicative of targeted attacks or persistent threats from nation-states. This chart is representative of country-based traffic - activity from specific originating nations may be anomalous and warrant further investigation.

Top Source Country/Region

Country/Region	Bandwidth
United States	2.24 GB
Japan	1.66 GB
Canada	520.09 MB
Russian Federation	150.41 MB
Switzerland	34.89 MB
China	30.47 MB
Malaysia	29.39 MB
Italy	29.28 MB
Mexico	23.90 MB
United Kingdom	11.36 MB

Figure 5: Activity originating from these country/region should be audited for expected traffic sources

User Productivity Application Usage

The FortiGuard research team categorizes applications into different categories based on the application behavioral characteristics, underlying technology, and the related traffic transaction characteristics. The categories allow for better application control. FortiGuard maintains thousands of application sensors and can even perform deep application inspection. For example, IT managers can get unprecedented visibility into filenames sent to the cloud or the titles of videos being streamed.

For application category details, see: http://www.fortiguard.com/encyclopedia/application

App Categories

•()	Unknown	33.48%
• 🔊	General.Interest	15.14%
•()	Web.Client	13.85%
- 🛞	Network.Service	8.27%
•	Video/Audio	8.05%
•0	Update	6.12%
	Social.Media	4.82%
• 🤌	Email	2.81%
• 🔕	Proxy	2.26%
•	Collaboration	2.25%
•()	Others	2.96%



With the proliferation of cloud-based computing, enterprises are increasingly reliant on third parties for infrastructure plumbing. Unfortunately for enterprises, this means that their information is only as secure as the cloud provider's security. In addition, it can often introduce redundancy (if services are already available internally) and increase costs (if not monitored properly).

Cloud Usage (SaaS)



- 42.36% YouTube (58.45 GB)
 13.23% Instagram (18.25 GB)
 12.13% Facebook (16.74 GB)
 5.36% LinkedIn (7.40 GB)
 3.93% Skype (5.42 GB)
 3.40% Samsung.Cloud (4.70 GB)
- 19.60% Others (27.05 GB)

The adoption of "infrastructure as a service" (IaaS) platforms is popular and can be very useful when compute resources are limited or have specialized requirements. That said, the effective outsourcing of your infrastructure must be well regulated to prevent misuse. The occasional auditing of IaaS applications can be a useful exercise not only for security purposes, but also to minimize organizational costs associated with pay per use models or recurring subscription fees. IT managers are often unaware of how many cloud-based services are in use within their organization. Sometimes, these applications can be used to circumvent or even replace corporate infrastructure already available to users in lieu of ease of use. Unfortunately, a potential side effect of this is that your sensitive corporate information could be transferred to the cloud. Accordingly, your data could be exposed if the cloud provider's security infrastructure is breached.

Cloud Usage (IaaS)



Application Category Breakdowns

Understanding application subcategories can give invaluable insights into how efficiently your corporate network is operating. Certain application types (such as P2P or gaming applications) are not necessarily conducive to corporate environments and can be blocked or limited in their scope. Other applications may have dual purpose uses (such as video/audio streaming or social media apps) and can be managed accordingly. These charts illustrate application categories sorted by the amount of bandwidth they used during the discovery period.



34.95% Instagram (18.25 GB)

- 32.05% Facebook (16.74 GB)
- 14.17% LinkedIn (7.40 GB)
- 5.36% Sina.Services (2.80 GB)
- 3.57% Sina.Weibo (1.87 GB) 3.21% Reddit (1.68 GB)
- 6.68% Others (3.49 GB)

Top Video/Audio Streaming Applications



Top Gaming Applications



- 37.63% Pokemon.Go (42.27 MB)
- 22.66% King.Glory (25.45 MB) 12.19% Addicting.Games (13.69 MB)
- 7.26% WeGame (8.15 MB)
- 7.03% Clash.Of.Clans (7.89 MB)
- 4.77% Apple.Game.Center (5.35 MB)
- 8.47% Others (9.51 MB)

Top Peer to Peer Applications





Web Usage

Web browsing habits can not only be indicative of inefficient use of corporate resources, but can also indicate an inefficient optimization of web filtering policies. It can also give some insight into the general web browsing habits of corporate users and assist in defining corporate compliance guidelines.

Top Web Categories

URL Category	User	Count	Bandwidth
🛐 Unrated	1	481	344.86 KB
🚯 Malicious Websites	1	239	101.00 KB
Information Technology	1	194	395.69 KB
Web Hosting	1	156	2.92 MB
Search Engines and Portals	1	91	76.67 KB
🔼 Proxy Avoidance	1	75	979.66 KB
Reference	1	74	76.38 KB
Sports	1	73	73.88 KB
News and Media	1	68	56.26 KB
🗟 Business	1	67	139.24 KB

In today's network environments, many applications leverage HTTP for communications – even some you wouldn't normally expect. The primary benefit of HTTP is that communication is ubiquitous, universally accepted and (generally) open on most firewalls. For most business-related and whitelisted applications this typically augments communication, but some non-business applications also use HTTP in either unproductive or potentially nefarious ways.

Top Web Applications

Application	Sessions	Bandwidth
HTTPS	20,590,068	1.11 TB
НТТР	14,428,443	825.80 GB
HTTPS.BROWSER	2,813,991	124.73 GB
YouTube	31,588	58.45 GB
MS.Windows.Update	18,871	50.05 GB
Apple.Store	820	46.66 GB
Wget	3,881	35.78 GB
SSL	2,165,597	30.54 GB
Apple.Services	3,734	25.20 GB
HTTP.BROWSER	803,108	25.01 GB
Instagram	24,133	18.25 GB
Facebook	72,244	16.73 GB
Microsoft.Exchange.Server	97,143	16.44 GB
HTTP.Video	67,474	15.89 GB
Adobe.Web	11,833	12.13 GB
Google.Services	169,834	9.94 GB
Google.Play	38,777	8.28 GB
Apple.Software.Update	69	7.75 GB
LinkedIn	19,531	7.40 GB
Twitch	1,145	6.14 GB
HTTP.Segmented.Download	3,638	5.78 GB
Google.Accounts	59,111	5.02 GB
Samsung.Cloud	4,551	4.70 GB
iCloud	38,265	4.36 GB
Amazon.AWS	35,488	3.88 GB

Websites Frequented

Websites browsed are strong indicators of how employees utilizing corporate resources and how applications communicate with specific websites. Analyzing domains accessed can lead to changes in corporate infrastructure such as website blocking, deep application inspection of cloud-based apps and implementation of web traffic acceleration technologies.

Most Visited Web Domains

Domain	Category	Visits
cl63amgstart.ru	🚺 Malicious Websites	210
174.142.162.241	🔄 Unrated	44
23.209.27.138	🖸 Unrated	43
www.facebook.com	Social Networking	43
61.135.218.26	Reference	42
www.games.com	🛂 Games	41
kproxy.com	🚺 Proxy Avoidance	40
npchurch.org	Global Religion	39
222.73.28.54	🛐 Unrated	37
212.47.2.77	🛐 Unrated	36

Estimated browsing times for individual websites can be useful when trying to get an accurate picture of popular websites. Typically, these represent internal web resources such as intranets, but they can occasionally be indicative of excessive behavior. Browse times can be employed to justify the implementation of web caching technologies or help shape organizational corporate use policies.

F#RTINET.

Top Websites by Browsing Time

Sites	Category	Browsing Time(hh:mm:ss)	
174.142.162.241	Unrated		00:13:22
212.47.2.77	🛐 Unrated		00:11:55
85.233.168.140	Web Hosting		00:11:24
140.211.11.131	Information Technology		00:10:53
61.135.218.46	Unrated		00:10:51
157.166.249.10	News and Media		00:10:46
87.106.215.227	🚺 Proxy Avoidance		00:10:29
23.3.105.200	🛐 Unrated		00:10:14
118.163.113.93	🗈 Unrated		00:09:40
173.194.33.69	Search Engines and Portals		00:09:38
109.200.4.26	Information Technology		00:08:35
221.179.190.205	🛐 Unrated		00:08:15
134.170.0.216	Information Technology		00:08:10
173.194.33.86	Search Engines and Portals		00:07:42
103.4.19.166	🛐 Unrated		00:04:15
cl63amgstart.ru	📧 Malicious Websites		00:04:10
222.73.28.54	🛐 Unrated		00:03:22
188.165.210.111	🛐 Unrated		00:02:53
www.lacoope.com	Entertainment		00:02:29
17.154.66.47	🛐 Unrated		00:02:07
91.216.139.205	🔄 Web Hosting		00:01:58
www.associatedgunclubs.org	Sports		00:01:49
www.hostway.com.au	Web Hosting		00:01:06
203.90.242.122	Unrated	-	00:01:02
61.135.218.26	Reference		00:01:00
www.tata.com	🔄 Business	-	00:00:58
npchurch.org	Global Religion		00:00:58
www.facebook.com	Social Networking	-	00:00:58
123.125.115.75	Information Technology		00:00:56
kproxy.com	Proxy Avoidance	-	00:00:56
hin.com	Health and Wellness	-	00:00:53
89.200.143.100	Unrated	-	00:00:53
23.209.27.138	Unrated	-	00:00:51
www.tovota.com	Personal Vehicles	-	00:00:51
www.thegreenestdollar.com	Personal Websites and Blogs	-	00:00:50
www.findaproperty.com	Real Estate	-	00:00:50
www.carpenters310.org	General Organizations		00:00:47
www.magic-mushrooms.net	Drug Abuse	-	00:00:46
www.monster.ca	Iob Search	-	00:00:45
www.dininginfrance.com	Restaurant and Dining	-	00:00:45
www.itradecimb.com	Brokerage and Trading		00:00:44
www.gmail.com	Web-based Email		00:00:44
www.amazon.com			00:00:44
doc.google.com	Web-based Applications	-	00:00:43
www.games.com	Games		00:00:42
www.meebo.com	Veb Chat	-	00:00:41
www.yahoo.com	Search Engines and Portals		00:00:40
www.cnn.com	News and Media	-	00:00:40
www.literacycenter.net	Child Education		00.00.40
141 101 115 20	Web Hosting	-	00:00:39

Network Utilization Bandwidth

Average Bandwidth by Hour

By looking at bandwidth usage when distributed over an average day, administrators can better understand their organizational ISP connection and interface speed requirements. Bandwidth can also be optimized on an application basis (using throttling), specific users can be prioritized during peak traffic times, and updates can be rescheduled outside of working hours.

10 TB 8 TB 6 TB 4 TB 2 TB С 06:00 10:00 12:00 00:00 02:00 04:00 08:00 14:00 16:00 18:00 20:00 22:00

One of the most telling ways to analyze bandwidth is by looking at destinations and sources generating the most traffic. Common destination sites (e.g. external websites), such as those for OS/firmware updates, can be throttled to allow prioritized, business critical traffic. Internally, high traffic hosts can be optimized through traffic shaping or corporate use policies.

Top Bandwidth Consuming Sources/Destinations

Host Name	Bandwidth	
fortinet-ca2.fortinet.com		8.37 GB
96.45.33.73		2.55 GB
r2sn-5aanugx5h-t0ae.googlevideo.com		1.30 GB
96.45.33.64		1.09 GB
r2sn-uxa0n-t8gs.gvt1.com		1,012.49 MB
r3sn-uxa0n-t8gz.gvt1.com		907.15 MB
support.fortinet.com		780.48 MB
r3sn-5aanugx5h-t0ae.googlevideo.com	-	704.17 MB
www.googleapis.com	-	596.39 MB
filestore.fortinet.com	-	554.23 MB

FortiGuard Security and Services

Knowledge of the threat landscape combined with the ability to respond quickly at multiple levels is the foundation for providing effective security. Hundreds of researchers at FortiGuard Labs scour the cyber landscape every day to discover emerging threats and develop effective countermeasures to protect organizations around the world. They are the reason FortiGuard is credited with over 250 zero-day and vulnerability discoveries and why Fortinet security solutions score so high in real-world security effectiveness tests at NSS Labs, Virus Bulletin, AV Comparatives, and more.



Next Generation Application Control & IPS

Application control and intrusion prevention (IPS) are foundational security technologies in a next generation firewall like the FortiGate. Organizations worldwide use FortiGuard application control and IPS in the FortiGate platform to manage their applications and block network intrusions (every minute of every day FortiGuard blocks ~470,000 intrusion attempts). FortiGates running application control and IPS are tested for effectiveness in industry comparison tests by NSS Labs and consistently receive Recommended ratings.



Web Filtering

Every minute of every day FortiGuard Labs processes approximately 43M URL categorization requests and blocks 160k malicious websites. The Web Filtering service rates over 250M websites and delivers nearly 1.5M new URL ratings every week. FortiGuard is the only VBWeb certified web filtering solution - blocking 97.7% of direct malware downloads in 2016 tests.



AntiVirus and Mobile Security

Every minute of every day FortiGuard Labs neutralizes approximately 95,000 malware programs targeting traditional, mobile and IoT platforms. Patented technologies enable FortiGuard antivirus to identify thousands of current and future malware variants with a single signature – optimizing both security effectiveness and performance. Fortinet consistently receives superior effectiveness results in industry testing with Virus Bulletin and AV Comparatives



AntiSpam

Every minute of every day FortiGuard Labs blocks approximately 21,000 spam emails and each week the Labs deliver approximately 46M new and updated spam rules. Email is the #1 vector for the start of an advanced attack on an organization so highly effective antispam is a key part of a security strategy.



Advanced Threat Protection (FortiSandbox)

Thousands of organizations around the world leverage FortiSandbox to identify advanced threats. FortiSandbox consistently receives a Recommended rating for breach detection systems from NSS Labs in industry tests and in 2015 NSS Labs tests achieved a 97%+ breach detection rating.



IP Reputation

Every minute of every day FortiGuard Labs blocks approximately 32,000 botnet command & control communication attempts. A key part of the attack kill chain on an organization is when the threat communicates with a command & control server – either to download additional threats or to exfiltrate stolen data. IP and Domain address reputation blocks this communication, neutralizing threats.

Appendix A

Devices

FG101E4Q17003734 New_Van_Office_Wifi Van_Office_FW2[fcm_root] Van_Office_FW2[roo] Van_Office_FW2[root] Van_Office_QA Weixiang_WiFi[lab] Weixiang_WiFi[root] Weixiang_WiFi[tp] Weixiang_WiFi[vd1] CorpFW csf-v62